UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,610	10/16/2001	Keiji Kanota	450100-2976.2	5130
	7590 07/08/200 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.	LEE, Y YOUNG		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/978,610	KANOTA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Y. Lee	2621	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and con Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	≣xaminer.	
11) 🗌	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/220,049. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notic Notic Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F	ate	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/19/09 has been entered.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/220,049, filed on 3/30/94.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-6, 13-33, 61, 62, 65-73, and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions² indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the

¹ Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Page 3

underlying subject matter nor is positively tied to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore does not qualify as a statutory process. For example the **processing** method including steps of generating information data, generating generation data, and setting predetermined bits is of sufficient breadth that it would be reasonably interpreted as a series of steps completely performed mentally, verbally or without a machine.

The Applicant has provided no explicit and deliberate definitions of "generating".

The Applicant has provided no explicit and deliberate definitions of "generating", "generating" or "setting" to limit the steps.

The USPTO interim guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in Sec. 101.

- ... a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.
- ... signal claims are ineligible for patent protection because they do not fall within any of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

Claim(s) 7-12, 63, and 64 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 7-12, 63, and 64 are drawn to functional descriptive material recorded on a MEDIUM. Normally, the claim would be statutory. However, the specification, at column 16 defines the claimed computer readable medium as

encompassing statutory media such as a "tape", "disk", etc, as well as non-statutory subject mater such as a "broadcast transmission signal".

A "signal" embodying functional descriptive material is neither a process nor a product (i.e., a tangible "thing") and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of § 101. Rather, "signal" is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material.

Because the full scope of the claim as properly read in light of the disclosure encompasses non-statutory subject matter, the claim as a whole is non-statutory. The examiner suggests amending the claim to *include* the disclosed tangible computer readable media, while at the same time *excluding* the intangible media such as signals, carrier waves, etc. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2621

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platte et al (WO 92/16944) in view of Justice et al (4,044,380).

Platte et al, in Figures 1-3, discloses a recording and copying system that is substantially the same apparatus and method of processing a video signal to selectively permit copying thereof as specified in claims 1-85 of the present invention, the video signal having an effective picture portion containing useful picture information from which a viewable picture is displayed and a non-picture portion comprised of a plural-bit mode number and associated plural-bit data or data flags wherein the plural-bit mode number selectively classifies the associated plural-bit data or data flags as data or flags such that predetermined bits of the associated plural-bit data or data flags represent different information as a function of the classification by the plural-bit mode number, the method comprising the steps of generating copyright information data CP indicative of whether the viewable picture is subject to copyright; generating copy generation data CC indicative of the number of successive generations of copies that can be made from the processed video signal; and setting the predetermined bits as the copyright information data and the copy generation data when the plural-bit mode number (e.g. digital additional information) classifies the associated plural-bit data or data flags as flags, thereby to produce the processed video signal.

With respect to claims 2-85, Platte et al also discloses the video signal contains line intervals (e.g. PAL, SECAM) and the copyright information data CP and the copy generation data CC; wherein the video signal contains frame intervals, each formed of field intervals, and

the different line intervals are in various field intervals of the same frame interval PAL; and wherein the video signal contains line intervals and the copyright information data CP.

Page 6

Although Platte et al discloses PAL and SECAM formats containing vertical blanking identifying (VBID) data, it is noted Platte et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose disposing any additional information in the VBI in each frame as specified in claims 1-85. Justice et al, however, teaches the concept of such well known additional information are superposed in VBID data in each frame of the video signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Platte et al and Justice et al before him/her, to incorporate the well known data insertion technique as taught by Justice et al in the video signal processing method of Platte et al in order to repeat cyclically the transmission of important codes for preventing undesirable copying yet preventing the identification code from interfering with the video signal.

Reissue Applications

- 7. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.
- 8. In particular, merely repeating newly added claims is not a sufficient statement of error. See MPEP 1414, at sub-paragraph C.
- 9. Claims 1-85 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,610 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

The nature of the defect(s) in the reissue declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-85 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Young Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621 Art Unit: 2621

yl